UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,584	06/19/2003	Robert W. Blakesley	55670DIV(45858)	5497
	7590 03/31/200 NGELL PALMER & D	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 5587	<i>7</i> 4	BABIC, CHRISTOPHER M		
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/600,584	BLAKESLEY ET AL.	
Examiner	A 4   1   ! 4	
Examiner	Art Unit	

non-allowable claim(s).			OTTRICTOT TIER M. BABIC	1007
1. ☑ The Reply was filed after a final rejection. but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must intelly file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affadity, or other evidence with joint places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  a) ☐ the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) ☐ the period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection on the final rejection of the following time period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRML REJECTION. See WFEP 705 07(7).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee through the file of the center of CFR 1.176(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final office action; (2) as many reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.776(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL 2.  ② ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 March 2009. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  MINEDIMENTS  □ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise the issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  □ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final re	The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficiavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.14. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:  a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY-CHECK BOX (b) WHA THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	THE REPLY FI	LED 23 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.
<ul> <li>b)</li></ul>	application application for Contir	n, applicant must timely file one of the following in in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1.5 shocked, chock clither box (a) or 16). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reploy originally set intel Office actions or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, or (2) as a final rejection or (2) as a final rejection of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal and the set of 1.25 (a) and 1.25 (a	a) The p	period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL.  2. A The Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 March 2009. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(e).  AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	no eve Exam	ent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is iner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
<ul> <li>2. ∑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 March 2009. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).</li> <li>AMENDMENTS</li> <li>3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li> <li>NOTE:</li></ul>	Extensions of tim have been filed is under 37 CFR 1. set forth in (b) ab may reduce any	te may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
3.	2. ⊠ The Notic date of fil Since a N	ce of Appeal was filed on <u>23 March 2009</u> . A briefing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or a lotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:	3. The prop (a) The (b) The (c) The	oosed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by raise new issues that would require further coney raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);
5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet. 6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	(d) ☐ The NO	ey present additional claims without canceling a cDTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)     will not be entered, or b)     will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:				mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
7.	6. Newly pr	roposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendment canceling the
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	how the r The statu Claim(s) a Claim(s) d Claim(s)	new or amended claims would be rejected is proves of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: allowed:  objected to:  rejected: 26,27,33-37 and 39-48.		l be entered and an explanation of
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	because	applicant failed to provide a showing of good and		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.  /Kenneth R Horlick/	entered b	ecause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.  /Kenneth R Horlick/		·	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  /Kenneth R Horlick/	11. The req	uest for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
		* ,	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
				nit 1637

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 1) Rejection of claims 26, 27, 33-37, and 39-42 over 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph; and 2) Rejection of claims 26,27,33,34,37,39,41, and 42 under 35 USC 102(b) over Fujishiro.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's amendments and supporting evidence have been fully considered but are not sufficient to over come the rejection of claims 26,27,33-37 and 39-48 under 35 USC 103(a) over Rogers, Burgoyne, and Kahn.

Applicant's arguments and supporting affidavit reiterate arguments already of record presenting summarily that, "Plasmid DNA behaves differently from genomic DNA based on its size and its structure. It would not be expected that less complex DNA would interact with a solid matrix in the same manner as genomic DNA, so it would not be intuitive that plasmid DNA could be isolated on a solid matrix."

As presented previously, Rogers clearly shows that FTA® medium ruptures bacterial cell walls such that PCR reagents can effectively amplify cellular DNA. Thus, it is clear that once applied to FTA® medium, bacterial cell walls are disrupted such that cellular DNA is liberated from the cell. Furthermore, Burgoyne clearly shows that pure plasmid DNA can be eluted from FTA® medium. Thus, it is clear that the circular structure of bacterial plasmid DNA does not interfere with or prevent elution from FTA® medium. Given these teachings, a skilled artisan would have a reasonable expectation of success when attempting to elute plasmid DNA from a bacterial culture on FTA® medium. Applicant is reminded that obviousness does not require absolute predictability (see MPEP 2143.02, for example).

Thus, the rejection is maintained.